

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,280	08/18/2003	Takahiro Kume	742158-08 2447	
7:	590 04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
Thomas W. Cole NIXON PEABODY LLP			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN	
Suite 800	ODY LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8180 Greensboro Drive			1772	
McLean, VA	22102		DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			L
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/642,280	KUME ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alicia Chevalier	1772	
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be soluted and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Fe	ebruary 2006.	•	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims	•		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18, 21 and 22 is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicat	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	•
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage	
* 0	application from the International Bureau	• • • •		
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receiv	ea.	•
Attachmen		,, –		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) 🔲 Infor	r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	÷

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Claims 1-22 are pending in the application, claims 19 and 20 have been withdrawn from consideration.

REJECTIONS

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-18, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "large foam cells that have diameters substantially larger on average than those of the fine foam cells" is unclear and renders the claims vague and indefinite. It is unclear from the claim language if the large foam cells are larger in diameter than the fine foam cells or if the size range of the large foam cells over lap the size of the fine foam cells.

4. Claims 1-18, 21 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1772

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments in response filed February 3, 2006 regarding the previous rejections of record have been considered but are most due to the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4/16/

ALICIA CHEVALIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER